UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,062	10/28/2003	Osamu Kizaki	244515US2	3829
	7590 07/03/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			CHENG, PETER L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2625		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/03/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/694,062	KIZAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		TETER E. GHENG	2020
Th	e MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY F	ILED <u>04 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
application	was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on on, applicant must timely file one of the following on in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe nued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) 🔲 The	period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
no ev Exan	period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A yent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la niner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of tin have been filed i under 37 CFR 1 set forth in (b) al	THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( ne may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex .17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	ce of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the	Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extendable fappeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a) <u> </u>	posed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, ley raise new issues that would require further colley raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c)	ney are not deemed to place the application in betopeal; and/or ney present additional claims without canceling a	ter form for appeal by materially red	
	OTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		scied claims.
	endments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
_	nt's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,
6. ☐ Newly p non-allow	roposed or amended claim(s) would be alwable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	
how the The state Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)	oses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) new or amended claims would be rejected is provus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: allowed: objected to: rejected: withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an explanation of
	R OTHER EVIDENCE		
because	avit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu applicant failed to provide a showing of good and earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
entered l	avit or other evidence filed after the date of filing because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	davit or other evidence is entered. An explanation RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
11. 🛛 The red	uest for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note then 13. ☐ Other: _	e attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/King Y. Pool Supervisory	on/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Contrary to applicants' remarks that the '782 publication and the '579 patent, taken alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest "information on a compression rate of image data for a format conversion to be performed by a connected apparatus, as recited by Claim 1", it is maintained that the combination does teach a "format conversion to be performed by the connected apparatus" since the connected apparatus "decodes" the data sent by the image-forming apparatus. That is, both encoding and decoding may be considered as "format conversions"